This article was downloaded by: On: 17 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Baudin, Cecile , Pean, Christophe , Perly, Bruno and Gosselin, Pascal(2000) 'Inclusion of Organic Pollutants in Cyclodextrins and Derivatives', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 77: 3, 233 — 242

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067310008032685 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067310008032685>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

*Inrcrn. J. Envimn. Ad. Chcm.* Vol. *770).* pp. **233-242 Reprints available directly from the publisher**  Photocopying permitted by license only

# **INCLUSION OF ORGANIC POLLUTANTS IN CYCLODEXTRINS AND DERIVATIVES**

## CECILE BAUDIN<sup>a\*</sup>, CHRISTOPHE PEAN<sup>a</sup>, BRUNO PERLY<sup>a</sup> and PASCAL GOSSELIN<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Service de Chimie Moléculaire, CEA Saclay, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette, France and *b*Université du Maine, Faculté des Sciences, ESA 6011-CNRS, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, *France* 

*(Received 13 July 1999; Injinal form 26 January 2000)* 

Both (-)-geosmin and (+)-2-methyl-isoborneol **are** the main compounds responsible for the unpleasand smells found in the vicinity of water-processing plant. Attempts to eliminate them using oxidation, filtration and/or biologic degradation processes **are** only partly efficient. The use of cage molecules could provide **an** alternative solution. In this respect, cyclodextrins and derivatives have demonstrated their role as candidates as hosts for these highly hydrophobic compounds. In this paper, we evidence the complexation of above mentionned pollutants by cyclodextrins using high-resolution proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy. The latter method is also used to afford a three-dimensional structure of inclusion complexes in solution and to show that cyclodextrins can **as**  well discriminate between the optical isomers of synthetic geosmin and methyl-isoborneol. Finally, a solution to the problem of waste waters is proposed.

*Keywords:* Cyclodextrins; <sup>1</sup>H NMR; ( $\pm$ )-geosmin; ( $\pm$ )-2-methyl isoborneol; molecular inclusion; water pollution

### **INTRODUCTION**

In the vicinity of water-processing plant, several compounds have long been identified as the stench substances responsible for the degradation of the organoleptic quality of drinking water.

Two natural pollutants produced by bio-conversion of organic matter, (-)-geosmin **I** and (+)-2-methyl isoborneol **I1** (Figure l), appear as the main compounds producing musty and earthy smells<sup>[1]</sup>. For over ten years, attempts to eliminate them using oxidation<sup>[2]</sup>, filtration<sup>[3]</sup> and/or biologic degradation<sup>[4,5]</sup> processes failed. Therefore, it appears that the use of cage molecules **as** molecular traps

Downloaded At: 16:45 17 January 2011 Downloaded At: 16:45 17 January 2011

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +33-149089806. E-mail: baudin @scm.saclay.cea.fr



**FIGURE 1 Structures of compounds I and JI** 

could provide alternative solutions. In this respect, cyclodextrins **(CDs)** and derivatives could be used as scavengers owing to proper adequation in terms of molecular sizes between host and guest.

CDs<sup>[6]</sup> (Figure 2) are cyclic oligosaccharides composed of 6, 7 or 8  $\alpha(1-4)$ linked glucopyranose units  $(\alpha CD, \beta CD)$  or  $\gamma CD$ , respectively) and have the overall molecular shape of a truncated cone. The available internal cavity increases in size with the number of glucose units. Each glucopyranoside unit (Figure 2 c) adopts a  ${}^{4}C_1$  chair conformation implying that all primary hydroxyl groups are located on the narrower side of the ring while all secondary hydroxyl groups are on the wider side. Protons H-3 and **H-5** (Figure 2 b) are located in the cavity whereas protons H-1 and H-4 point outwards. Because of this geometry, the interior of the cavity retains a relatively hydrophobic character while the exterior of the cavity remains hydrophilic. One of the most specific property of these hosts is their ability to form inclusion complexes with a large number of guests. These complexes are stabilized by weak non-covalent interactions. Therefore, the requirement<sup>[7]</sup> for the inclusion complex formation to occur is a correct fitting of at least a part of the guest molecule into the **CD's** cavity.

**PCD** being available in large quantities at low cost appeared **as** the most convenient molecule for the inclusion of I and II. Nevertheless, when considering **PCD,** the main feature to be improved is the solubility in water the purpose being to obtain highly soluble complexes. The use of highly water-soluble chemically modified **PCD** derivatives is suitable because it is expected that the improvement will be reflected in a much higher solubilty of inclusion complexes.



FIGURE 2 Structure (a) and lateral **view** (b) of **PCD and** of glucosidic unit (c)

We report here on the experimental conditions of the inclusion of I and **I1** in PCD and highly water-soluble derivatives and on the solubility of the corresponding complexes. The inclusion process and the chiral discrimination are evidenced and supported by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) data. Further detailed NMR investigation is performed in order to propose a molecular model for the complexes in solution and to evidence the chiral discrimination properties of CDs towards I and **11.** Finally, a solution to the problem of the waste water is suggested.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### **NMR Experiments**

All one (1D) and two-dimensional (2D) experiments were recorded on a Bruker DRX5OO spectrometer operating at 500.13 MHz for proton with a *5* mm probe. 1D NMR spectra are collected using 16K data points. All 2D experiments are acquired using 2K data points and 256 time increments using the phase sensitive mode (TPPI) and processing resulted in  $1K \times 1K$  (real-real) matrix. Chemical shifts are given in p.p.m. downfield from external tetramethylsilane as reference and the temperature was carefully controlled within 0.1 "C by means of Haake exchange device.

#### **Materials**

 $\beta$ CD (Roquette, Lestrem, France) and 2,6 dimethyl- $\beta$ CD (DIMEB) (synthetized in the laboratory) are lyophilized twice in  $D_2O$ . For the preparation of a 5mM solution of **I** or 11, the required amounts of **I** or **I1** are taken from a **100** mM stock solution in acetone.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Inclusion of I and I1 in PCD and derivatives**

The first step to support the use of the CDs and derivatives in scavenging the title pollutants requires **to** prove that the complexation of I and **I1** by CDs occurs and, if this is the case, that the corresponding complexes are soluble. Therefore, preliminary assays have been carried out in order to determine the solubility of **I** in the presence of BCD. An equimolar mixture of **I** and BCD leads to a weakly soluble complex. In that case, the solubility of **I** in water remains lower than **1** mM at 25°C in presence of a *5* mM aqueous solution of PCD. In order to enhance the solubility of this complex, DIMEB (Figure 3)<sup>[8,9,10]</sup> was also considered and found to provide optimal performances. Indeed DIMEB which displays a much higher intrinsic solubility in water (353 mM at  $25^{\circ}$ C) than the parent  $\beta$ CD **(1** *5* mM at 25OC) induces a highly improved solubilization power for **I** which can reach *5* mM in the presence of an equimolar quantity of DIMEB.

Similar performances were obtained with 11 for which the solubility is *5* mM in 5 mM DIMEB at 25°C.

If only the solubilization power is considered, DIMEB appears as a better candidate than  $\beta$ CD although to a lesser extent than expected from its intrinsic solubility. The use of high water-soluble modified  $\beta$ CD resulting in an increase in the solubilization power for sparingly soluble guests as such I and **11,** DIMEB will be only considered in the following sections.

Although the solubilization is a first clue for the formation of an inclusion complex, it is not a sufficient criterion. In this case, NMR spectroscopy can evidence this inclusion process using modifications of chemical shifts of host (and guest) with special attention to those located in the cavity of the cyclodextrin, i.e.



**FIGURE 3 Structure of 2.6 dimethyl-PCD** (DIMEB)

H-3 and **H-5,** these being the most prone to experience shifts upon the formation of an inclusion complex.

Figure **4** displays the 'H NMR spectra of DIMEB in the absence and in the presence of (-)-geosmin. Spectrum (b) clearly shows that **H-5** and H-6 experiences the largest shifts upon addition of **I** and confirms the formation of an inclusion complex. A similar effect is also observed for  $II$  encouraging more detailed NMR investigations to derive the geometry of the inclusion complexes.

#### **Geometries of inclusion complexes of I and I1 in DIMEB**

More detailed indications concerning the geometry of inclusion complexes of I and **I1** with DIMEB can be derived from the evidence of spatial proximities between protons of the host and the guest. This can be achieved using 2D ROESY experiments. In this method as described elsewhere<sup>[11]</sup>, spatial proximities (dipolar interactions) between the protons of the guest and the host mole-



FIGURE 4 Partial <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (298 K, 500 MHz) of DIMEB (5 mM) in D<sub>2</sub>O in the absence  $(a)$  and in the presence  $(b)$  of  $\overline{I}$   $(5 \text{ mM})$ 

cules can be deduced from cross-peaks observed in ROESY experiment. The cross-peak corresponds to an internuclear distance of  $\leq 5$  Å between relevant protons.

Figure *5* displays a partial contour plot of a ROESY experiment performed on an equimolar mixture of DIMEB and (-)-geosmin. The spots (Figures *5* d, e, f and g) represent the cross-peaks or spatial proximities between the protons of (-)-geosmin and DIMEB. For clarity, the **'H** NMR spectra of (-)-geosmin and DIMEB of which the complete assignment has been described<sup>[8]</sup> are displayed in both dimensions.

Concerning the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum of (-)-geosmin showed on the left hand side of figure *5,* the signals of Me-4 and Me-9 are clearly identified. The Me-4 of geosmin shows a spatial proximity (Figure *5* d) with the proton H-3 of the



**FIGURE 5** Partial **contour plot of a ROESY experiment (Spin lock time 3oomS; 256 scans per time-increment) performed at 500 MHz on a mixture of DIMEB (5** mM) **and of (-)-geosmin** *(5* mM) **in D20 at 298 K** 

DIMEB only while the Me-9 presents dipolar effect with the protons H-3, **H-5**  and H-6 (Figures *5* e, f and g, respectively) of the host molecule. This suggests the Me-9 to be located at the vicinity of the the narrow side of DIMEB while Me-4 is closer to located at the vicinity of the the narrow side of DIMEB while Me-4 is closer to wide side of the host molecule. **A** schematic model consistent with the NMR data can therefore be proposed and is displayed on Figure 6.

Likewise, cross-peaks are observed from a similar ROESY experiment performed under identical conditions as described on Figure *5* on **an** equimolecular mixture *(5* mM) of (+)-2-methyl-isoborneol and DIMEB. Spatial proximity observed between the Me-1 of guest molecule and H-3 of DIMEB indicates that this methyl is located near the wider side of the host molecule. Me-8 and Me-9 of



FIGURE 6 Proposed structure of the inclusion complex in solution of **(-)-geosmin** in **DIMEB as**  deduced from **ROESY** data

(+)-2-methyl isoborneol are located near the primary side of the host molecule according to their spatial interactions with H-3, **H-5** and H-6 of DIMEB. One weak interaction between Me-2 of guest molecule and H-3 of DIMEB is observed suggesting that Me-2 located near the wider side of the host molecule is probably less deeply inserted in the cavity than Me- 1.

### **Chiral discrimination properties**

The previous results showed that interactions between host and guest molecules depend on the geometry of both partners. In case of racemic mixture, interactions of each optical isomer with host molecule can be different enough to allow discrimination.

It is well documented<sup>[12]</sup> that CDs are chiral molecules and play a key role in chiral discrimination. Interactions with a racemic guest molecule can lead to the formation of pseudo-diastereoisomeric complexes of which the evidence can be also investigated using <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra<sup>[13]</sup>. We demonstrate here that the formation of complexes with synthetic racemic  $(\pm)$ -I<sup>[14]</sup> and  $(\pm)$ -II can be highly informative in this respect. Indeed the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of  $(\pm)$ -**I** and  $(\pm)$ -**II** show separate signals for each enantiomer in presence of **CDs.** For example, the partial NMR spectrum of **(\*)-I** in presence of DIMEB (Figure 7) displays distinct resonances for Me-4 and Me-9 of geosmin. The chiral Me-9 and Me-4 which give rise to a singlet and a doublet, respectively, in the pure compound are duplicated in the racemic mixture\*. Both methyls of **(+)-I** appear at lower field those of **(-)-I.** This separation suggests that each optical isomer occupies a different position in DIMEB.



**FIGURE 7 Partiel <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of a mixture of**  $(\pm)$ **-I (6 mM) and DIMEB (5 mM) (D<sub>2</sub>O, 298 K.** *500* **MHz)** 

These observations show that **CDs** exhibit efficient recognition properties towards  $(\pm)$ -I and  $(\pm)$ -II and can therefore be used as convenient chiral shifts reagents for the determination of the optical purity **I** and 11

#### *Prospectives for the use* **of** *CDs for the removal* **of** *the title pollutants in water*

**<sup>A</sup>**possible solution to remove **I** and 11 from water pollution could involve the grafting of CDs on an insoluble support **as** described in column chromatogra-

<sup>\*</sup> **The Me-4 signals of (\*)-I appear as a triplet due to overlapping of two doublets araising from each optical isomer.** 

phy<sup>[15]</sup>. Such a process would allow the CD to interact with **I** and **II**. In that case, the water filtered through the CD chemically bonded on a solid phase would be cleared from **I** and **11.** After saturation, the column could be regenerated with methanol.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The above NMR data has allowed not only to evidence the inclusion of **I** and **I1**  in CDs but also to determine the likely position of **I** and **I1** in these host molecules. A further detailed  ${}^{1}H$  NMR study has evidenced the selectivity of CDs towards the chiral guests **I** and **I1** and showed that these host molecules could behave as resolving agents for enantiomers  $(\pm)$ -**I** and  $(\pm)$ -**II**.

These results show that CDs appear **as** the most versatile class of cage molecules to scavenge **I** and **11.** The inclusion process provides a single non destructive method for the removal of **I** and **11.** In this respect, the grafting of CDs on a solid phase could solve the problem of the treament of drinking water (16).

#### *Acknowledgements*

The authors thank Dr G. Revial (ESCPI-Paris) for a kind gift of  $(+)$ - and (-)-geosmin.

#### *References*

- [1] K. Hattori, Water Sci. Technol., 20, 237-244 (1988).
- [2] **J.** P. Duguet, A. Bruchet and J. Mallevialle, Water *Supply,* 7, 115-123 (1989).
- [3] W. Van Craenenbroeck, M. Van Clapdurp, **T.** Rymen and **S.** *Kreps,* Water, 11.84-88 (1992).
- 141 H. Ishida and Y. Miyaji, Water *Sci. Technol.,* 25,269-276 (1992).
- [5] **S.** Yasutake, H. Kimura and **S.** Kohno, *Kogyo Yosui.* 411,5948 (1992).
- [6] a) G. Wenz, *Angew.* Chem. *In?. Ed. England, 33,* 803-822 (1994) b) *Chem.* Rev., *98,* 1941- 2076 (1998).
- 171 0. Bekers, E.V. Uijtendaal, **J.H.** Beijnen, A. Bult and W.J.M. Underberg, *Drug Dev. Ind. Phm.,* 17,1503-1549 (1991).
- [8] **J.** Boger, R. **J.** Corcoran and J. M. khn, *Helv. Chim. Acta,* 61,2190-2218 (1978).
- [9] B. Casu and M. Reggiani, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **76**, 59-66 (1979).
- [lo] K. Uekama and T. Irie In: *Cyclo&xtrins and their industrial* **uses** (ed D. Duchene, Edition de Santé, Paris, 1987) ch. 10, pp. 395-439.
- (1 **11** P. Berthault, F. Djedaini and B. Perly In New *Trendr in cyclodextrim and derivatives* (ed. D. Duchhe, Edition de Santb. **Paris,** 1991) ch. *5,* pp. 181-216.
- [12] C. J. Easton and **S.** F. Lincoln, Chem *Soc. Rev.,* 25, 163-170 (1996).
- [13] D. Gretbanks and R. Pickford, *Magn.* Res. Chem. 25.208-215 (1987).
- (141 P. Gosselin, D. Joulain, P. Laurin and F. Rouesac, *Terrahedmn* Lett., **30.** 2775-2778 (1989).
- [15] (a) D.W. Armstrong, *Bonded phase materials for chromatographic separations*, U. S. Patent n° 4,539,399, 3 September 1985, 9 pages (b) E. Smolkova-Keulemansova In *Cyclodextrins and their industrial uses* (ed. D. Duchêne, Edition de Santé, Paris, 1987) ch. 7, pp. 261-295.
- [16] C. Baudin, P. Gosselin and B. Perly, WO 97-FR 1920 971027.